Topics
My posts
Drafts
Preferences
More
CATEGORIES
Philosophy
Society, Government…
Science, Technology,…
Psychology and Mind
Religion and Spiritua…
Creative Writing

Oct 1
171 / 198
Dec 16
2d ago

Ichthus77 (formerly She™) Ichthus77 5d

> Jupiter123:
>
> All matter levitates in space

You keep saying that. Our bodies are matter —why aren't we levitating? Don't answer that. If we *were* levitating, wouldn't that be strange? What does it imply that all matter is levitating according to what you are saying? Are you saying that the levitation is supernatural and that there is some sort of gravitational force like the Earth (or whatever it is that keeps the Earth together) over which everything in space is levitating supernaturally? If that is the case, then why aren't WE levitating? Now answer the question. What do you mean when you say that?

Jupiter123 abc1231 2 5d

You only need two forces to hold all matter together and cancel out mass and cause it to levitate in space.

These forces are attractive and repulsive electromagnetic forces Ichthus.

You don't need gravity.

All matter vibrates and levitates in space and emits its own unique electromagnetic energy waves containing binary data specific to the matter type.Its these analogue waves of energy which the physical body machine senses pick up and convert into binary electrical signals which are then converted back into internal sounds and visions (and sensations) that mirror the external.

Why would you want to go back to the vomit of cognitively biased Atheistic Santa Science when you know how reality science actually works?

---

daniel j lavender 5d

> Ichthus77 (formerly She™):
>
> I was talking to my oldest son and we were trying to think of a property all existents have. We called it existence. Existents which/who exist existence are existing existence. Only one existent fully exists existence—every other existent gets their existence from that existent…by existing it in accordance with the existence with which they were made an existent. My son wasn't too sure about all of it, and I told him I'm still working it out.

To address your statements, existence is not merely a property. Existence is the items *and* the properties. Existence is all.

With the functional definition provided existence is easy to discern. Existence is *that which is perceived or interacted with, at least in part*:

> daniel j lavender:
>
> *Existence (n.): Being; that which is perceived, at least in part; that which is interacted with, at least in part, in some way.*

By definition any item or property is existence.

Icicles are perceived, icicles are existence. Fire is perceived, fire is existence. Coldness is perceived, coldness is existence. Warmth is perceived, warmth is existence. Temperature is perceived, temperature is existence. Chemical reactivity is perceived, chemical reactivity is existence.

Items *and* properties are perceived, items *and* properties are existence.

2 Replies

Ichthus77 (formerly She™) Ichthus77 1 5d

You're saying to be is to be perceived…

…but…

…is everything as we perceive it?

Just wait a second. That'll change.

But what is constant between the changes, hmmmm???

Something always is. Something always does.

Whhwwwwhhhhhhwwwwwhhhhy?

Over to you.

P.s. Why + how = wow. Simple math.

1 Reply